

National Judicial Academy

P-1233: National Workshop for High Court Justices (Online)
12th – 13th December, 2020

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Sumit Bhattacharya and Mr. Yogesh Pratap Singh, Faculty

No. of Participants : 29

No. of forms received : 05

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	100.00	-	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	100.00	-	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	100.00	-	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	80.00	20.00	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	40.00	60.00	-	1. Discussion time was given, but unequally between participants.
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	80.00	20.00	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	40.00	60.00	-	-
c. Up to date	60.00	40.00	-	-

d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	60.00	40.00	-	-
e. Related to international legal norms	40.00	40.00	20.00	-
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITION	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	80.00	20.00	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Case studies were relevant	40.00	60.00	-	-
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	100.00	-	-	-
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	80.00	-	20.00	1. Some were not uploaded at all. Some others were mere reproduction of the lecture text, not elaborative of the idea.
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	80.00	20.00	80.00	20.00
2	80.00	20.00	100.00	-
3	40.00	60.00	50.00	50.00
4	60.00	40.00	60.00	40.00
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	40.00	60.00	-	-
b. The content was updated. It	40.00	60.00	-	-

reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area				
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	60.00	40.00	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS	
1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. 1. Keeps abreast of current development in respective fields; 2. Facilitates interaction between judges and resource persons from all over the country and sometimes across borders; 3. Enhances judging skills by addressing its various facets.</p> <p>2. Mr. Arvind P. Datar’s sessions was the most knowledgeable and useful.</p> <p>3. The programme was- 1. Very informative on the subject; 2. Provided good study material and excellent base for thought and action; 3. Focused on vision of judicial for innovation/development/reform/use of AI.</p> <p>4. 1. Importance of inspection of courts by Guardian Judges; 2. Importance of reading and acquisition of knowledge for writing judgments; 3. Emotional balance.</p> <p>5. 1. Analysis of different schools of thought of judicial reasoning; 2. Details introduction on artificial intelligence; 3. Collegiality among judges.</p>
2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	<p>1. The session on artificial intelligence was particularly helpful due to personal interest; also since the future of adjudication, the ultimate purpose of which is to reach out relief to all sections of litigants, depends on it.</p> <p>2. Session 2: Styles of Judicial Reasoning: The Process of Decision Making – as noted above very useful especially on the concept of reasoning.</p> <p>3. All parts were equally useful.</p> <p>4. All the programme were useful as they have given me information and new tips to approach the issue and improve my judgeship.</p> <p>5. The Session on the Process of Decision Making as it delved into all the relevant issues related to the approaches necessary for judicial adjudication.</p>
3. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why	<p>1. The inner engineering session was a bit of a bore with the resource person going on asking repetitive questions, which led nowhere, encouraging the participants to endlessly dwell on personal issues. There was a lack of structure and coherence in the session. It seemed more like a spiritual session (which has its own benefits, but in context) gone astray. The takeaways were basic and not worth a full session of the Judicial Academy.</p> <p>2. <i>Session 1: Administration & Leadership Skills: Conferencing & Collegiality among Judges-</i> Though well discussed, no new material or aids put up especially in the area regarding duties and functions of portfolio or guardian judges.</p> <p>3. All parts were equally useful.</p> <p>4. None.</p>

	5. None
4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective	<p>1. The connectivity was a serious issue all through, ironically in the artificial intelligence session too! The power point presentations of resource persons were uploaded either late or never, which handicapped them as well as denuded the sessions of interest on occasions.</p> <p>2. Programme were well managed and conceived and also very topical.</p> <p>3. May consider the following aspects- 1. Specific time may be allocated in the programme schedule for interactive session; 2. The participants be also asked and given sufficient time to share views/suggestions/experiences on the subject matter.</p> <p>4. Number of participants can be increased as it is done on a virtual platform.</p> <p>5. Inclusion of subject which are emerging and of relevance in the present day context and in the future is likely to make the programmes more effective.</p>